

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/661,140  | 09/12/2003                          | Jeffrey George       | 60518-163           | 7738             |  |
|   | 7590 01/22/2007<br>HOWARD ATTORNEYS | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151 |                                     |                      | KARKHANIS, AASHISH  |                  |  |
|   |                                     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |                                     |                      | 3714                |                  |  |
|   |                                     |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |                                     |                      | 01/22/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|        | S |      |
|--------|---|------|
| t(s)   |   | <br> |
| ET AL. |   |      |
| 2      |   |      |

## **Advisory Action**

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/661,140        | GEORGE ET AL. |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit      |  |
| Aashish Karkhanis | 3714          |  |

| Defens the Fillman of an Amazol Duick   |   | ,   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Aashish Karkhanis   | 3714  |   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the d  | correspondence add  | ress                                    |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>   | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m  | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C             | nce, which                              |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A  | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In                 |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or  | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI  | g date of the final rejecti                               | ion.                                    |  |  |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.<br>dension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>or than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr                                   | iate extension fee                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                    | ns of the date of<br>le appeal. Since   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  | onsideration and/or search (see NO<br>ow);<br>otter form for appeal by materially re  | TE below); educing or simplifying                         |   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   | jected claims.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ol>  |   | ompliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                             |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   |   | •                                       |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   | ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ winded below or appended.  | ill be entered and an o                                   | explanation of                          |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | ut before or on the date of filing a N<br>nd sufficient reasons why the affidat   | otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u><br>vit or other evidence i | <u>xt</u> be entered<br>s necessary and |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>ry and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(            | ils to provide a<br>1).                 |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>  |   | •   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered be<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>  | ut does NOT place the application i   | n condition for allowa                                    | nce because:                            |  |  |  |  |
| 12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  | 7   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13.  Other:   |   | // /3 Cm  | >                                       |  |  |  |  |
|   | Core  | CORBETT B. COB  | LIDA                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | PRIMARY EXAMI   | VER                                     |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The introduction of new claimed subject matter changes the scope of the claims. Specifically, the use of a mobile computer in a player attendance system requires further examination.